

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/693,096	10/23/2003	Jy-Der David Tai	13999 B	8453
75	90 01/10/2005		EXAM	INER
CHARLES E. BAXLEY, ESQUIRE			MOTTOLA, STEVEN J	
Third Floor			A DT LD UT	DADED MIMDED
90 John Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10038			2817	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/10/2004	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/693,096	TAI, JY-DER DAVID			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven J. Mottola	2817			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed vs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	<u> </u>				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/OPaper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 08) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/693,096

Art Unit: 2817

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: the paragraph bridging pages 2-3, lines 12 and 15-16 of page 4, etc.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the claims appear to be missing appropriate articles; for instance, in claim 1, line 4, --a-- should be inserted before "working range" and on line 6, --an-- should be inserted before "operating limit". Similar discrepancies exist throughout the claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Botker.

Refer to fig. 7 of Botker. An input stage 504 may be read as the common mode feedback amplifier claimed; see detail fig. 8 which shows the input stage having a

common mode feedback arrangement as described at lines 16-20 of col. 8. Then second stage 508 and the feedforward stage 714 may be read as the diffierential adjusting unit of claim 2 or as performing the mixing step of claim 1 since these differential amplifiers receive the differential outputs of the input stage (note in re claim 4) and adjust them to increase stability (abstract) which will inherently result in lower distortion. Since residual offset is eliminated (abstract) there will be a wider voltage output swing range available which may be read on the 'increase working range' limitation of claim 1.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shih.

Refer to fig. 1 of Shih. A differential input stage with common mode feedback may be read as the common mode feedback amplifier claimed. It is followed by differential stages 40 that may be read as the differential adjusting unit of claim 2 or as performing the mixing step of claim 1 as they act to reduce distortion of the output of the common mode feedback amplifier (line 45 of col.2) and widen the output swing (abstract) which may be read on the 'increase working range' limitation of claim 1. Regarding claim 3, the stages 40 are identical. Regarding claims 4-5, they receive the differential outputs of the CMFB input stage.

Claims 6-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

While the differential inputs of the second stage 508 and feedforward stage 714 of Botker are reversed as specified in claim 6, it is not apparent that these amplifiers are identical as required by parent claim 3.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Mottola whose telephone number is 571-272-1766. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal, can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven J. Mottola Primary Examiner